

U.S. ARMY CORPS OF ENGINEERS REGULATORY PROGRAM APPROVED JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION FORM (INTERIM) NAVIGABLE WATERS PROTECTION RULE

I. ADMINISTRATIVE INFORMATION

Completion Date of Approved Jurisdictional Determination (AJD): 7/27/2021

ORM Number: NWP-2021-306

Associated JDs: N/A

Review Area Location¹: State/Territory: Oregon City: Salem County/Parish/Borough: Marion

Center Coordinates of Review Area: Latitude 44.873415 Longitude -123.009828

II. FINDINGS

A. Summary: Check all that apply. At least one box from the following list MUST be selected. Complete the corresponding sections/tables and summarize data sources.
The review area is comprised entirely of dry land (i.e., there are no waters or water features, including wetlands, of any kind in the entire review area). Rationale: N/A
There are "navigable waters of the United States" within Rivers and Harbors Act jurisdiction within the review area (complete table in Section II.B).
There are "waters of the United States" within Clean Water Act jurisdiction within the review area (complete appropriate tables in Section II.C).
There are waters or water features excluded from Clean Water Act jurisdiction within the review area (complete table in Section II.D).

B. Rivers and Harbors Act of 1899 Section 10 (§ 10)²

| § 10 Name | § 10 Size | | § 10 Criteria | Rationale for § 10 Determination |
|-----------|-----------|-----|---------------|----------------------------------|
| N/A. | N/A. | N/A | N/A. | N/A. |

C. Clean Water Act Section 404

| Territorial Seas and Traditional Navigable Waters ((a)(1) waters):3 | | | | | |
|---|-------------|------|-----------------|------------------------------------|--|
| (a)(1) Name | (a)(1) Size | | (a)(1) Criteria | Rationale for (a)(1) Determination | |
| N/A. | N/A. | N/A. | N/A. | N/A. | |

| Tributaries ((a)(2) waters): | | | | | |
|------------------------------|-------------|------|-----------------|------------------------------------|--|
| (a)(2) Name | (a)(2) Size | | (a)(2) Criteria | Rationale for (a)(2) Determination | |
| N/A. | N/A. | N/A. | N/A. | N/A. | |

| Lakes and ponds, and impoundments of jurisdictional waters ((a)(3) waters): | | | | | |
|---|-------------|------|-----------------|------------------------------------|--|
| (a)(3) Name | (a)(3) Size | | (a)(3) Criteria | Rationale for (a)(3) Determination | |
| N/A. | N/A. | N/A. | N/A. | N/A. | |

| Adjacent wetlands ((a)(4) waters): | | | | | | |
|------------------------------------|-------------|------|-----------------|------------------------------------|--|--|
| (a)(4) Name | (a)(4) Size | | (a)(4) Criteria | Rationale for (a)(4) Determination | | |
| N/A. | N/A. | N/A. | N/A. | N/A. | | |

¹ Map(s)/figure(s) are attached to the AJD provided to the requestor.

² If the navigable water is not subject to the ebb and flow of the tide or included on the District's list of Rivers and Harbors Act Section 10 navigable waters list, do NOT use this document to make the determination. The District must continue to follow the procedure outlined in 33 CFR part 329.14 to make a Rivers and Harbors Act Section 10 navigability determination.

³ A stand-alone TNW determination is completed independently of a request for an AJD. A stand-alone TNW determination is conducted for a specific segment of river or stream or other type of waterbody, such as a lake, where upstream or downstream limits or lake borders are established. A stand-alone TNW determination should be completed following applicable guidance and should NOT be documented on the AJD Form.



U.S. ARMY CORPS OF ENGINEERS REGULATORY PROGRAM APPROVED JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION FORM (INTERIM) NAVIGABLE WATERS PROTECTION RULE

D. Excluded Waters or Features

| Excluded waters ((b)(1) – (b)(12)): ⁴ | | | | | |
|--|-----------|---------|--|--|--|
| Exclusion Name | Exclusion | n Size | Exclusion ⁵ | Rationale for Exclusion Determination | |
| Ditch 1 | 0.0365 | acre(s) | (b)(5) Ditch that is not an (a)(1) or (a)(2) water, and those portions of a ditch constructed in an (a)(4) water that do not satisfy the conditions of (c)(1). | Ditch 1 is located in the southern portion of the Review Area. Based on historic aerial imagery and topographic maps, there is no evidence the ditch is a relocated tributary, was constructed in a tributary, or was constructed in an adjacent wetland; therefore, the ditch meets the (b)(5) exclusion pursuant to the Navigable Waters Protection Rule (NWPR). | |
| Ditch 2 | 0.7404 | acre(s) | (b)(5) Ditch that is not an (a)(1) or (a)(2) water, and those portions of a ditch constructed in an (a)(4) water that do not satisfy the conditions of (c)(1). | Ditch 2 is located in the southern portion of the Review Area. Based on historic aerial imagery and topographic maps, there is no evidence the ditch is a relocated tributary, was constructed in a tributary, or was constructed in an adjacent wetland; therefore, the ditch meets the (b)(5) exclusion pursuant to the NWPR | |
| Ditch 3 | 0.009 | acre(s) | (b)(5) Ditch that is not an (a)(1) or (a)(2) water, and those portions of a ditch constructed in an (a)(4) water that do not satisfy the conditions of (c)(1). | Ditch 3 is located in the southeastern portion of the Review Area. Based on historic aerial imagery and topographic maps, there is no evidence the ditch is a relocated tributary, was constructed in a tributary, or was constructed in an adjacent wetland; therefore, the ditch meets the (b)(5) exclusion pursuant to the NWPR | |
| Ditch 4 | 0.0334 | acre(s) | (b)(5) Ditch that is not an (a)(1) or (a)(2) water, and those portions of a ditch constructed in an (a)(4) water that do not satisfy the conditions of (c)(1). | Ditch 4 is located in the eastern portion of the Review Area. Based on historic aerial imagery and topographic maps, there is no evidence the ditch is a relocated tributary, was constructed in a tributary, or was constructed in an adjacent wetland; therefore, the ditch meets the (b)(5) exclusion pursuant to the NWPR | |
| Ditch 5 | 0.0292 | acre(s) | (b)(5) Ditch that is not an (a)(1) or | Ditch 5 is located in the eastern portion of the Review Area. Based on historic aerial imagery | |

-

⁴ Some excluded waters, such as (b)(2) and (b)(4), may not be specifically identified on the AJD form unless a requestor specifically asks a Corps district to do so. Corps districts may, in case-by-case instances, choose to identify some or all of these waters within the review area.

⁵ Because of the broad nature of the (b)(1) exclusion and in an effort to collect data on specific types of waters that would be covered by the (b)(1)

⁵ Because of the broad nature of the (b)(1) exclusion and in an effort to collect data on specific types of waters that would be covered by the (b)(1) exclusion, four sub-categories of (b)(1) exclusions were administratively created for the purposes of the AJD Form. These four sub-categories are not new exclusions, but are simply administrative distinctions and remain (b)(1) exclusions as defined by the NWPR.



U.S. ARMY CORPS OF ENGINEERS REGULATORY PROGRAM APPROVED JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION FORM (INTERIM) NAVIGABLE WATERS PROTECTION RULE

| Excluded waters ((b)(1) – (b)(12)): ⁴ | | | | | |
|--|----------------|--|--|--|--|
| Exclusion Name | Exclusion Size | Exclusion ⁵ | Rationale for Exclusion Determination | | |
| | | (a)(2) water, and those portions of a ditch constructed in an (a)(4) water that do not satisfy the conditions of (c)(1). | and topographic maps, there is no evidence the ditch is a relocated tributary, was constructed in a tributary, or was constructed in an adjacent wetland; therefore, the ditch meets the (b)(5) exclusion pursuant to the NWPR | | |

III. SUPPORTING INFORMATION

- **A.** Select/enter all resources that were used to aid in this determination and attach data/maps to this document and/or references/citations in the administrative record, as appropriate.
 - ☐ Information submitted by, or on behalf of, the applicant/consultant: Four Creeks Subdivision Salem, Marion County, Oregon Wetland Determination Report dated May 2021

This information is sufficient for purposes of this AJD.

Rationale: The requestor utilized the methods described in the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers 1987 wetland delineation manual and Regional Supplement to the Corps of Engineers Wetland Delineation Manual: Western Mountains, Valleys, and Coast Region to determine the boundaries of the aquatic resources within the Review Area.

ection III.B.

| | Data sheets prepared by the Corps: N/A |
|-------------|--|
| \boxtimes | Photographs: Aerial: Google Earth accessed July 14, 2021 |
| | Corps site visit(s) conducted on: N/A |
| | Previous Jurisdictional Determinations (AJDs or PJDs): N/A |
| | Antecedent Precipitation Tool: provide detailed discussion in Se |
| | USDA NRCS Soil Survey: N/A |

□ USFWS NWI maps: N/A
 □ USGS topographic maps: USGS Topoviewer: 1917, 1940, 1957, and 1970 topographic maps

accessed July 15, 2021

Other data sources used to aid in this determination:

| Data Source (select) | Name and/or date and other relevant information |
|----------------------------|---|
| USGS Sources | USGS Earth Explorer accessed July 15, 2021 |
| USDA Sources | N/A. |
| NOAA Sources | N/A. |
| USACE Sources | N/A. |
| State/Local/Tribal Sources | N/A. |
| Other Sources | N/A. |

B. Typical year assessment(s): N/A

C. Additional comments to support AJD: N/A