



**U.S. ARMY CORPS OF ENGINEERS
REGULATORY PROGRAM
APPROVED JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION FORM (INTERIM)
NAVIGABLE WATERS PROTECTION RULE**

I. ADMINISTRATIVE INFORMATION

Completion Date of Approved Jurisdictional Determination (AJD): 6/16/2021

ORM Number: NWP-2020-469

Associated JDs: N/A

Review Area Location¹: State/Territory: Oregon City: Medford County/Parish/Borough: Jackson

Center Coordinates of Review Area: Latitude 42.32886 Longitude -122.9024540

II. FINDINGS

A. Summary: Check all that apply. At least one box from the following list MUST be selected. Complete the corresponding sections/tables and summarize data sources.

- The review area is comprised entirely of dry land (i.e., there are no waters or water features, including wetlands, of any kind in the entire review area). Rationale: N/A
- There are “navigable waters of the United States” within Rivers and Harbors Act jurisdiction within the review area (complete table in Section II.B).
- There are “waters of the United States” within Clean Water Act jurisdiction within the review area (complete appropriate tables in Section II.C).
- There are waters or water features excluded from Clean Water Act jurisdiction within the review area (complete table in Section II.D).

B. Rivers and Harbors Act of 1899 Section 10 (§ 10)²

§ 10 Name	§ 10 Size	§ 10 Criteria	Rationale for § 10 Determination
Wetland	N/A.	N/A.	N/A.

C. Clean Water Act Section 404

Territorial Seas and Traditional Navigable Waters ((a)(1) waters): ³			
(a)(1) Name	(a)(1) Size	(a)(1) Criteria	Rationale for (a)(1) Determination
N/A.	N/A.	N/A.	N/A.

Tributaries ((a)(2) waters):			
(a)(2) Name	(a)(2) Size	(a)(2) Criteria	Rationale for (a)(2) Determination
N/A.	N/A.	N/A.	N/A.

Lakes and ponds, and impoundments of jurisdictional waters ((a)(3) waters):			
(a)(3) Name	(a)(3) Size	(a)(3) Criteria	Rationale for (a)(3) Determination
N/A.	N/A.	N/A.	N/A.

Adjacent wetlands ((a)(4) waters):			
(a)(4) Name	(a)(4) Size	(a)(4) Criteria	Rationale for (a)(4) Determination
N/A.	N/A.	N/A.	N/A.

¹ Map(s)/figure(s) are attached to the AJD provided to the requestor.

² If the navigable water is not subject to the ebb and flow of the tide or included on the District’s list of Rivers and Harbors Act Section 10 navigable waters list, do NOT use this document to make the determination. The District must continue to follow the procedure outlined in 33 CFR part 329.14 to make a Rivers and Harbors Act Section 10 navigability determination.

³ A stand-alone TNW determination is completed independently of a request for an AJD. A stand-alone TNW determination is conducted for a specific segment of river or stream or other type of waterbody, such as a lake, where upstream or downstream limits or lake borders are established. A stand-alone TNW determination should be completed following applicable guidance and should NOT be documented on the AJD Form.



**U.S. ARMY CORPS OF ENGINEERS
REGULATORY PROGRAM
APPROVED JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION FORM (INTERIM)
NAVIGABLE WATERS PROTECTION RULE**

D. Excluded Waters or Features

Excluded waters ((b)(1) – (b)(12)): ⁴				
Exclusion Name	Exclusion Size		Exclusion ⁵	Rationale for Exclusion Determination
Wetland	0.13	acre(s)	(b)(1) Non-adjacent wetland.	Wetland lies in the northern portion of the Review Area. This wetland does not directly abut an (a)(1)-(a)(3) water as it is surrounded by uplands. Wetland is not inundated by flooding in a typical year nor separated from an (a)(1)-(a)(3) water only by a natural feature. Furthermore, this wetland is not separated from an (a)(1)-(a)(3) water only by an artificial structure allowing a direct hydrologic surface connection between the wetlands and the (a)(1)-(a)(3) water in a typical year. Wetland does not meet the definition of an adjacent wetland under the NWPR.
Ditch 1	0.01	acre(s)	(b)(5) Ditch that is not an (a)(1) or (a)(2) water, and those portions of a ditch constructed in an (a)(4) water that do not satisfy the conditions of (c)(1).	Ditch 1 lies in the northern portion of the Review Area. The ditch is 2-3 feet wide and 100 feet north to south. Ditch 1 is not considered a tributary under (a)(2) because at the time the ditch was originally constructed, the ditch did not relocate a tributary, was not constructed in a tributary, and was not constructed in an adjacent wetland. Therefore, the ditch meets the (b)(5) exclusion pursuant to the NWPR.
Ditch 2	0.004	acre(s)	(b)(5) Ditch that is not an (a)(1) or (a)(2) water, and those portions of a ditch constructed in an (a)(4) water that do not satisfy the conditions of (c)(1).	Ditch 2 lies in the northwestern portion of the Review Area. The ditch is two feet wide and 50 feet east to west. Ditch 1 is not considered a tributary under (a)(2) because at the time the ditch was originally constructed, the ditch did not relocate a tributary, was not constructed in a tributary, and was not constructed in an adjacent wetland. Therefore, the ditch meets the (b)(5) exclusion pursuant to the NWPR.
Ditch 3	0.002	acre(s)	(b)(5) Ditch that is not an (a)(1) or (a)(2) water, and those portions of a ditch constructed in an (a)(4) water that do not satisfy the conditions of (c)(1).	Ditch 3 lies in the eastern portion of the Review Area. The ditch is 1-2 feet wide and 30 feet east to west. Ditch 1 is not considered a tributary under (a)(2) because at the time the ditch was originally constructed, the ditch did not relocate a tributary, was not constructed in a tributary, and was not constructed in an adjacent wetland. Therefore, the ditch meets the (b)(5) exclusion pursuant to the NWPR.

⁴ Some excluded waters, such as (b)(2) and (b)(4), may not be specifically identified on the AJD form unless a requestor specifically asks a Corps district to do so. Corps districts may, in case-by-case instances, choose to identify some or all of these waters within the review area.

⁵ Because of the broad nature of the (b)(1) exclusion and in an effort to collect data on specific types of waters that would be covered by the (b)(1) exclusion, four sub-categories of (b)(1) exclusions were administratively created for the purposes of the AJD Form. These four sub-categories are not new exclusions, but are simply administrative distinctions and remain (b)(1) exclusions as defined by the NWPR.



**U.S. ARMY CORPS OF ENGINEERS
REGULATORY PROGRAM
APPROVED JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION FORM (INTERIM)
NAVIGABLE WATERS PROTECTION RULE**

III. SUPPORTING INFORMATION

A. Select/enter all resources that were used to aid in this determination and attach data/maps to this document and/or references/citations in the administrative record, as appropriate.

- Information submitted by, or on behalf of, the applicant/consultant: “Jurisdictional Wetland Delineation Report for Spring Valley Drive” by Jodi Reed of Schott & Associates on February 2020.

This information is sufficient for purposes of this AJD.

Rationale: The requestor utilized the methods described in the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers 1987 wetland delineation manual and Regional Supplement to the Corps of Engineers Wetland Delineation Manual: Western Mountains, Valleys, and Coast Region to determine the boundaries of Wetland and Ditches 1-3.

- Data sheets prepared by the Corps: N/A
- Photographs: Aerial and Other: Google Earth Images (3/2021, 9/2018, 6/2018, 7/2014, 7/2010, and 5/1994) and LIDAR
- Corps site visit(s) conducted on: N/A
- Previous Jurisdictional Determinations (AJDs or PJDs): N/A
- Antecedent Precipitation Tool: provide detailed discussion in Section III.B.
- USDA NRCS Soil Survey: 04/24/2021
- USFWS NWI maps: 04/24/2021
- USGS topographic maps: 04/24/2021

Other data sources used to aid in this determination:

Data Source (select)	Name and/or date and other relevant information
USGS Sources	N/A.
USDA Sources	N/A.
NOAA Sources	N/A.
USACE Sources	U.S. Army Corps of Engineers 1987 wetland delineation manual and Regional Supplement to the Corps of Engineers Wetland Delineation Manual: Western Mountains, Valleys, and Coast Region
State/Local/Tribal Sources	N/A.
Other Sources	N/A.

B. Typical year assessment(s): The antecedent precipitation tool was utilized for the timing of the wetland delineation performed by the consultant on 27 January 2020 to determine if the site was delineated during drier or wetter than normal circumstances. The APT determined the delineation was performed during normal conditions for the time of the year. Therefore, the Corps can conclude site conditions during the delineation of the site were normal for January 2020.

C. Additional comments to support AJD: N/A