



**U.S. ARMY CORPS OF ENGINEERS  
REGULATORY PROGRAM  
APPROVED JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION FORM (INTERIM)  
NAVIGABLE WATERS PROTECTION RULE**

**I. ADMINISTRATIVE INFORMATION**

Completion Date of Approved Jurisdictional Determination (AJD): 7/29/2020

ORM Number: NWP-2020-80

Associated JDs: NWP-1998-762

Review Area Location<sup>1</sup>: State/Territory: Oregon City: Hillsboro County/Parish/Borough: Washington

Center Coordinates of Review Area: Latitude 45.569056 Longitude -122.902306

**II. FINDINGS**

**A. Summary:** Check all that apply. At least one box from the following list MUST be selected. Complete the corresponding sections/tables and summarize data sources.

- The review area is comprised entirely of dry land (i.e., there are no waters or water features, including wetlands, of any kind in the entire review area). Rationale: N/A or describe rationale.
- There are “navigable waters of the United States” within Rivers and Harbors Act jurisdiction within the review area (complete table in Section II.B).
- There are “waters of the United States” within Clean Water Act jurisdiction within the review area (complete appropriate tables in Section II.C).
- There are waters or water features excluded from Clean Water Act jurisdiction within the review area (complete table in Section II.D).

**B. Rivers and Harbors Act of 1899 Section 10 (§ 10)<sup>2</sup>**

§ 10 Name	§ 10 Size	§ 10 Criteria	Rationale for § 10 Determination
N/A.	N/A.	N/A.	N/A.

**C. Clean Water Act Section 404**

Territorial Seas and Traditional Navigable Waters ((a)(1) waters): <sup>3</sup>			
(a)(1) Name	(a)(1) Size	(a)(1) Criteria	Rationale for (a)(1) Determination
N/A.	N/A.	N/A.	N/A.

Tributaries ((a)(2) waters):			
(a)(2) Name	(a)(2) Size	(a)(2) Criteria	Rationale for (a)(2) Determination
N/A.	N/A.	N/A.	N/A.

Lakes and ponds, and impoundments of jurisdictional waters ((a)(3) waters):			
(a)(3) Name	(a)(3) Size	(a)(3) Criteria	Rationale for (a)(3) Determination
N/A.	N/A.	N/A.	N/A.

Adjacent wetlands ((a)(4) waters):			
(a)(4) Name	(a)(4) Size	(a)(4) Criteria	Rationale for (a)(4) Determination
N/A.	N/A.	N/A.	N/A.

<sup>1</sup> Map(s)/figure(s) are attached to the AJD provided to the requestor.

<sup>2</sup> If the navigable water is not subject to the ebb and flow of the tide or included on the District’s list of Rivers and Harbors Act Section 10 navigable waters list, do NOT use this document to make the determination. The District must continue to follow the procedure outlined in 33 CFR part 329.14 to make a Rivers and Harbors Act Section 10 navigability determination.

<sup>3</sup> A stand-alone TNW determination is completed independently of a request for an AJD. A stand-alone TNW determination is conducted for a specific segment of river or stream or other type of waterbody, such as a lake, where upstream or downstream limits or lake borders are established. A stand-alone TNW determination should be completed following applicable guidance and should NOT be documented on the AJD Form.



**U.S. ARMY CORPS OF ENGINEERS  
REGULATORY PROGRAM  
APPROVED JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION FORM (INTERIM)  
NAVIGABLE WATERS PROTECTION RULE**

**D. Excluded Waters or Features**

Excluded waters ((b)(1) – (b)(12)): <sup>4</sup>				
Exclusion Name	Exclusion Size		Exclusion <sup>5</sup>	Rationale for Exclusion Determination
Wetland 1	1.71	acre(s)	(b)(10) Stormwater control feature constructed or excavated in upland or in a non-jurisdictional water to convey, treat, infiltrate, or store stormwater runoff.	The feature was constructed partially in non-jurisdictional wetlands (non-adjacent) and partially in uplands and is currently maintained as a stormwater facility.
Wetland 2	2.08	acre(s)	(b)(1) Non-adjacent wetland.	The wetland is not adjacent to any jurisdictional water. It appears to be a closed depression and would not be flooded by any nearby waters in a typical year.
Wetland 3	0.97	acre(s)	(b)(1) Non-adjacent wetland.	The wetland is not adjacent to any jurisdictional water. It appears to be a closed depression and would not be flooded by any nearby waters in a typical year.
Wetland 4	0.28	acre(s)	(b)(1) Non-adjacent wetland.	The wetland is not adjacent to any jurisdictional water. It appears to be a closed depression and would not be flooded by any nearby waters in a typical year.
Wetland 5	0.48	acre(s)	(b)(1) Non-adjacent wetland.	The wetland is not adjacent to any jurisdictional water. It appears to be a closed depression and would not be flooded by any nearby waters in a typical year.
Wetland 6	0.06	acre(s)	(b)(1) Non-adjacent wetland.	The wetland is not adjacent to any jurisdictional water. It appears to be a closed depression and would not be flooded by any nearby waters in a typical year.
Wetland 7	0.01	acre(s)	(b)(1) Non-adjacent wetland.	The wetland is not adjacent to any jurisdictional water. It appears to be a closed depression and would not be flooded by any nearby waters in a typical year.
Wetland 8	0.03	acre(s)	(b)(1) Non-adjacent wetland.	The wetland is not adjacent to any jurisdictional water. It appears to be a closed depression and would not be flooded by any nearby waters in a typical year.
Wetland 9	2.09	acre(s)	(b)(1) Non-adjacent wetland.	The wetland is not adjacent to any jurisdictional water. It appears to be a closed depression and

<sup>4</sup> Some excluded waters, such as (b)(2) and (b)(4), may not be specifically identified on the AJD form unless a requestor specifically asks a Corps district to do so. Corps districts may, in case-by-case instances, choose to identify some or all of these waters within the review area.

<sup>5</sup> Because of the broad nature of the (b)(1) exclusion and in an effort to collect data on specific types of waters that would be covered by the (b)(1) exclusion, four sub-categories of (b)(1) exclusions were administratively created for the purposes of the AJD Form. These four sub-categories are not new exclusions, but are simply administrative distinctions and remain (b)(1) exclusions as defined by the NWPR.



**U.S. ARMY CORPS OF ENGINEERS  
REGULATORY PROGRAM  
APPROVED JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION FORM (INTERIM)  
NAVIGABLE WATERS PROTECTION RULE**

Excluded waters ((b)(1) – (b)(12)): <sup>4</sup>				
Exclusion Name	Exclusion Size	Exclusion <sup>5</sup>	Rationale for Exclusion Determination	
				would not be flooded by any nearby waters in a typical year.
Wetland 10	0.10	acre(s)	(b)(1) Non-adjacent wetland.	The wetland is not adjacent to any jurisdictional water. It appears to be a closed depression and would not be flooded by any nearby waters in a typical year.
Wetland 11	0.08	acre(s)	(b)(1) Non-adjacent wetland.	The wetland is not adjacent to any jurisdictional water. It appears to be a closed depression and would not be flooded by any nearby waters in a typical year.
Wetland 12	0.05	acre(s)	(b)(1) Non-adjacent wetland.	The wetland is not adjacent to any jurisdictional water. It appears to be a closed depression and would not be flooded by any nearby waters in a typical year.
Drainage Ditch A	355	linear feet	(b)(3) Ephemeral feature, including an ephemeral stream, swale, gully, rill, or pool.	This ditch runs from Wetland 1 a short distance west before it flows through a culvert under NE Croeni Road towards a stormwater facility on the west side of the road. The ditch is ephemeral and flows when Wetland 1 is full.

**III. SUPPORTING INFORMATION**

**A. Select/enter all resources** that were used to aid in this determination and attach data/maps to this document and/or references/citations in the administrative record, as appropriate.

- Information submitted by, or on behalf of, the applicant/consultant: [“Wetland Delineation Report for Meteor Project – West Union, Hillsboro, Oregon” prepared by Turnstone Environmental Consultants, dated April 3, 2019](#)

This information is **not** sufficient for purposes of this AJD.

Rationale: [Received revised data forms in file with date July 7, 2020 that replace data forms in WD report. This information was sufficient.](#)

- Data sheets prepared by the Corps: [Title\(s\) and/or date\(s\).](#)
- Photographs: [Aerial and Other: in WD report and in file](#)
- Corps site visit(s) conducted on: [Date\(s\).](#)
- Previous Jurisdictional Determinations (AJDs or PJDs): [ORM Number\(s\) and date\(s\).](#)
- Antecedent Precipitation Tool: [provide detailed discussion in Section III.B.](#)
- USDA NRCS Soil Survey: [in WD report](#)
- USFWS NWI maps: [in WD report](#)
- USGS topographic maps: [in WD report](#)

**Other data sources used to aid in this determination:**

Data Source (select)	Name and/or date and other relevant information
<a href="#">USGS Sources</a>	<a href="#">N/A.</a>
<a href="#">USDA Sources</a>	<a href="#">N/A.</a>
<a href="#">NOAA Sources</a>	<a href="#">N/A.</a>
<a href="#">USACE Sources</a>	<a href="#">N/A.</a>
<a href="#">State/Local/Tribal Sources</a>	<a href="#">N/A.</a>



**U.S. ARMY CORPS OF ENGINEERS  
REGULATORY PROGRAM  
APPROVED JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION FORM (INTERIM)  
NAVIGABLE WATERS PROTECTION RULE**

Data Source (select)	Name and/or date and other relevant information
Other Sources	N/A.

**B. Typical year assessment(s):** The date of the site visit when the wetland delineation data sheets were filled out by the consultant (March 15, 2019) was considered “Drier Than Normal” climatic conditions per the APT.

**C. Additional comments to support AJD:** N/A or provide additional discussion as appropriate.